

Lecture 12

For now we're still following Onishchik-Vinberg (OV 1994).

Compactness theorem: G complex semisimple $P \subset G$ parabolic.

Then G/P is compact.

Pf. Can take $P = P_S$. Also, enough to show for B since
 $G/B \rightarrow G/P_S$ surj.

Sketched last time: \exists projective variety ($X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ poly nom engd) w/ G -action s.t.

- B is the stabilizer of some point ($G/B = G \cdot x_0$)
- The stabilizer of every point is solvable.

Then maximality of $B \Rightarrow G \cdot x_0$ minimal dim \Rightarrow closed in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^N)$
 \Rightarrow compact. \square

Alternate approach: The compact real form.

If m is a Lie alg over \mathbb{R} , then the vec space $m \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ over \mathbb{C} has a natural \mathbb{C} Lie alg str. Complex linearity determines $[,]$.

$m \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong m \oplus (im)$ $m \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ denoted $m^{\mathbb{C}}$ complexification.
 $\downarrow \mathbb{R}$ vec sp.

Conversely, a real form of g_f (a Lie alg over \mathbb{C}) is a \mathbb{R} -subalg m s.t. the inclusion $m \hookrightarrow g_f$ extends to a \mathbb{C} Lie alg iso $m^{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} g_f$, or equiv, $g_f \cong \underset{\mathbb{R} \text{ vec sp.}}{m \oplus im}$.

g_f (semi)simple \Rightarrow every real form is (semi)simple.

Two special: Real form w/ pos def Killing form \rightarrow SP/LT.

② Real form w/ neg def Killing form \rightarrow COMPACT
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{k}_g = \text{Lie}(K)$ where $K \subset G$ is compact
(in fact maximal)

e.g. $\mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{split}} \mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{R} = \{X \text{ real}, \text{tr } X=0\}$
 $\mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{compact}} \text{compact } \mathfrak{su}(n) = \{X \text{ symm } | X + \bar{X}^T = 0, \text{tr } X=0\}$

Fact from Lie theory: $\mathfrak{o}_g \supset \mathfrak{k}_g$ compact real form ($\mathfrak{o}_g \cong \mathfrak{k}_g \oplus (i\mathfrak{k}_g)$)
Then \exists solvable \mathbb{R} -subalg $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{o}_g$ s.t. $\mathfrak{o}_g \cong \mathfrak{k}_g \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{R}}$

This is part of the so-called Iwasawa decomposition.

Ex. $\mathfrak{o}_g = \mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{C}$ $\mathfrak{k}_g = \mathfrak{su}(n)$ \mathfrak{s} = upper tri complex matrices w/
real entries on diag

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & U \\ L & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ind}_1 & & \bar{L}^T \\ 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \text{Ind}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{Red}_1 & & U - \bar{L}^T \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \text{Red}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Warning. $\mathfrak{k}_g, \mathfrak{s}$ don't commute!

Also, \mathfrak{s} contains a real form of a Cartan which is enough
to be self-normalizing. \mathfrak{s} subgroup $S \subset G$

Pf of cptness. Write $\mathfrak{o}_g = \mathfrak{k}_g \oplus \mathfrak{s}$, conjugating so $s \subset b$, $b + \mathfrak{k}_g = \mathfrak{o}_g$

Then consider $G \rightarrow G/B$. Then $\pi|_{\mathfrak{k}_g}$ has $(\text{clrk})_e$ surjective
($\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{k}_g + b = \mathfrak{o}_g$) so image of π contains nbhd of eB .

The map is equiv under left G -action, so image $\pi(K)$ open.

K compact so $\pi(K)$ closed. G/B connected $\Rightarrow \pi(K) = G/B$.

Thus G/B is the continuous image of a compact set.

□

Explicit models.

Type A_n . $G/B =$ full flag variety of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} $G/P_{\max} =$ Grassmannian.

Type $B_n = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ $G/B =$ isotropic flags in (\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, b)

Type $C_n = SP_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $G/B =$ isotropic flags in $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, \omega)$

Type D_n $G/B =$ isotropic flags in (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, b) of form

$$F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset \overset{\circ}{F_{n-1}} \subset F_n^+$$
$$\cap \overset{\circ}{F_n^-}$$

where $F_n^+ \cap F_n^\circ$ has even dim, $F_n^- \cap F_n^\circ$ has odd dim.

Topology.

Thm. G complex semisimple, connected. Then G/B is simply connected and has a CW complex structure with all cells of even dimension.

The number of cells is $|G(w)|$. Further, there is one 0-cell and $|D| = \text{rk}(G)$ 2-cells.

In proving this we'll use more properties of the Iwasawa decomposition. REF: Helgason.